

Cont'd
B3 step of forming an electrically conductive path across said interface to said interconnect is formed by breakdown of said dielectric.

REMARKS

Claims 1, 7 to 9 and 22 have been amended. Claims 1 to 4, 7 to 9 and 18 to 24 remain active in this application.

The claims have been amended to remove the objections thereto and to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1, 3, 7 to 9, 18 to 21, 23 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi (U.S. 5,087,585). The rejection is again respectfully traversed.

The invention herein resides broadly in the formation of one of a substrate or a device layer secured to a dielectric layer having interconnect structure and optional passive structure therein with the other of the substrate or device layer then being planarized along with the exposed surface of the dielectric layer. The exposed surface and the substrate or device layer are then bonded together after being aligned. There is no build-up of layer upon layer as is found in Hayashi. It follows that the structures are entirely different.

More specifically, and with reference to claim 1, this claim requires, among other steps, forming an electrically insulating layer having a pair of opposed outer faces, one of the outer faces disposed on the surface one of the substrate or the device wafer, the electrically insulating layer having an electrical interconnect structure disposed therewithin. No such step is taught or suggested by Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed. The insulative layer of Hayashi has no such arrangement.

Claim 1 further requires that a portion of the interconnect structure extend substantially to the one of the outer faces of the electrically insulating structure. No such arrangement is found in Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed.

Claim 1 yet further requires the step of then bonding the other of the outer faces of the electrically insulating layer to the surface of the other of the substrate or device wafer. No such step is taught or suggested by Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed.

Claim 3 depends from claim 1 and therefore defines over Hayashi for at least the reason provided above as to claim 1.

In addition, claim 3 further limits claim 1 by requiring that at least one of the device layer and the substrate include a bond region, the interconnect structure contacting the bond region. insulating layer sufficient to break down said portion of said electrically insulating layer while maintaining the integrity of the remainder of said SOI structure

Claim 7 requires, among other steps, provision of an SOI structure having a device layer having at least one of active or passive elements on a surface thereof, a substrate having at least one of active or passive elements on a surface thereof and an electrically insulating layer having an interconnect structure disposed therein and extending to a surface thereof, the interconnect structure separating a portion of the device layer from said substrate. No such step is taught or suggested by Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed.

Claim 7 further requires the steps of forming a substantially planar surface comprising areas of one of the device layer and the substrate and areas of the electrically insulating layer and then bonding the surface to the other of the substrate wafer and device layer. Note that Hayashi has no electrically insulating layer having an interconnect structure which provides the claimed interconnect function in the electrically insulating layer bonded to one of the device layer or

substrate after being affixed to the other of these elements in the manner claimed. Furthermore, the arguments presented above with reference to claim 1 apply with reference to the same process steps.

Claims 8 and 9 depend from claim 7 and therefore define patentably over Hayashi for at least the reasons presented above with reference to claim 7.

In addition, claim 8 further limits claim 7 by requiring the step of forming an electrical interconnect structure in the electrically insulating layer, the interconnect structure contacting at least one of the device layer and the substrate. No such step is taught or suggested by Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed. The interconnect structure of Hayashi is not "in the electrically insulating layer" but rather is external thereto.

Claim 9 further limits claim 7 by requiring the step of forming an electrical interconnect structure in the electrically insulating layer, the interconnect structure contacting both the device layer and the substrate. The argument applied as to claim 8 applies herein as well.

Claim 18 requires, among other steps, after providing a device layer having at least one of active or passive elements on a surface thereof and providing a substrate having at least one of active or passive elements on a surface thereof, providing a dielectric bonded to one of the device layer and the substrate having an interconnect disposed therein and extending to at least one surface thereof. No such step is taught or suggested by Hayashi either alone or in the combination as claimed.

Claim 18 further requires the step of then bonding the dielectric to the other of the device layer and the substrate to form an interface with the one of said device layer and the substrate and form an electrically conductive path across the interface to the interconnect. No such step or steps in the order claimed are taught or suggested by Hayashi.

Claims 19 to 21, 23 and 24 depend from claim 18 and therefore define patentably over Hayashi for at least the reason presented above with reference to claim 18.

In addition, claim 19 further limits claim 18 by requiring that the electrically conductive path contacts the other of the device layer and the substrate. No such combination of steps in the order claimed is taught or suggested by Hayashi.

Claims 20 and 21 further limit claims 18 and 19 by requiring that the electrically conductive path be an extension of said device layer. No such combination of steps in the order claimed is taught or suggested by Hayashi.

Claim 23 further limits claim 18 by requiring that the substrate be a semiconductor substrate. No such combination of steps in the order claimed is taught or suggested by Hayashi.

Claim 24 further limits claim 18 by requiring that the substrate comprise a semiconductor substrate and a dielectric. No such combination of steps in the order claimed is taught or suggested by Hayashi.

Claims 1 to 4, 7 to 9 and 18 to 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi in view of Applicant's admitted prior art. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

The arguments presented above as to Hayashi is repeated since Applicant's admitted prior art does not overcome the demonstrated deficiencies in Hayashi. Claim 2, 4 and 22 are further patentable over the applied references since they depend from one of claim 1 or 18 as discussed above.

Claim 2 further limits claim 1 by requiring the step of applying a voltage across a portion of the electrically insulating layer sufficient to break down the portion of the electrically insulating layer while maintaining the integrity of the remainder of SOI structure. No such

combination is taught or suggested by Hayashi, the admitted prior art or any proper combination thereof.

Claim 4 further limits claim 2 by requiring that at least one of the device layer and the substrate include a bond region, the interconnect structure contacting the bond region through the portion of the electrically insulating layer. No such combination is taught or suggested by Hayashi, the admitted prior art or any proper combination thereof.

Claim 22 further limits claim 18 by requiring that the step of forming an electrically conductive path across the interface to the interconnect be formed by breakdown of the dielectric. No such combination is taught or suggested by Hayashi, the admitted prior art or any proper combination thereof.

In view of the above remarks, favorable reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J-M-C' or similar, written in a cursive style.

Jay M. Cantor
Reg. No. 19906
(202) 639-7713